

Council Assembly

Wednesday 25 February 2026

7.00 pm

Council Offices, 160 Tooley Street, London SE1 2QH

Supplemental Agenda no. 1

List of Contents

Item No.	Title	Page No.
2.1.	Policy and Resources Strategy: 2026-27 Budget and Medium Term Financial Strategy	1 - 16
2.4.	Proportionality and Allocation of Seats on Committees	17 - 18

Contact

Virginia Wynn-Jones, Andrew Weir on 020 7525 7055 or 020 7525 7222 or email:
virginia.wynn-jones@southwark.gov.uk; andrew.weir@southwark.gov.uk;
constitutional.team@southwark.gov.uk
Webpage: <http://www.southwark.gov.uk>

Date: 23 February 2026

Meeting Name:	Council Assembly
Date:	25 February 2026
Report title:	Policy and Resources Strategy: 2026-27 Budget and Medium Term Financial Strategy Questions on reports
Ward(s) or groups affected:	All
Classification:	Open
Reason for lateness (if applicable):	N/A
From:	Proper Constitutional Officer

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

Responses to questions on reports will be circulated around the council chamber on the evening of the meeting.

1. QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR EQUALITIES, DEMOCRACY AND FINANCE FROM COUNCILLOR SAM DALTON

How does this budget support residents who find themselves living in temporary accommodation?

2. QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR EQUALITIES, DEMOCRACY AND FINANCE FROM COUNCILLOR SABINA EMMANUEL

What investment is this council making in stopping and preventing antisocial behaviour on our streets and estates?

3. QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR EQUALITIES, DEMOCRACY AND FINANCE FROM COUNCILLOR MARTIN SEATON

How does this budget help our local residents find work?

4. QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR EQUALITIES, DEMOCRACY AND FINANCE FROM COUNCILLOR BETHAN ROBERTS

What is the council doing to ensure we can protect our vital library services while other councils are forced to close them?

5. QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR EQUALITIES, DEMOCRACY AND FINANCE FROM COUNCILLOR DAVID PARTON

What investment is being made to improve the service our residents get when interacting with the council?

6. QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR EQUALITIES, DEMOCRACY AND FINANCE FROM COUNCILLOR VICTOR CHAMBERLAIN

What is the impact of the government's fair funding review on the council's finances in this report and the medium term financial strategy going forward?

7. QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR EQUALITIES, DEMOCRACY AND FINANCE FROM COUNCILLOR RACHEL BENTLEY

The fair funding review's impacting on this and future budgets is clear - what representations have been made to Southwark Labour MPs and the Labour Government to ensure they understand the devastating impact this will have?

8. QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR EQUALITIES, DEMOCRACY AND FINANCE FROM COUNCILLOR IRINA VON WIESE

What financial risks does the government's Fair Funding Review pose to the council's future funding, and how are these risks reflected in this report and the medium term financial strategy?

9. QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR EQUALITIES, DEMOCRACY AND FINANCE FROM COUNCILLOR EMILY TESTER

What is the impact on services to residents of the government's cuts to grant funding resulting from the fair funding review?

10. QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR EQUALITIES, DEMOCRACY AND FINANCE FROM COUNCILLOR GRAHAM NEALE

From July 2024 to June 2025, the council received 8,000 Stage 1 complaints from residents. Residents regularly tell us that they struggle to speak with someone at the council, and a cabinet report this month stated that a disgraceful 45% of calls to the existing repairs line go unanswered. Given this, will the cabinet member commit to establishing a fund to set up 'One Stop Shops' in every neighbourhood, so that residents can have face to face access to their council in their own area?

11. QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR EQUALITIES, DEMOCRACY AND FINANCE FROM COUNCILLOR JANE SALMON

Does the Cabinet member agree that as well as the cuts imposed from the so-called Fair Funding review, the wider approach from this Labour government to local authority funding has been actively harmful to council's ability to deliver adequate services?

12. QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR EQUALITIES, DEMOCRACY AND FINANCE FROM COUNCILLOR HAMISH MCCALLUM

Can the cabinet member provide her assessment of the Housing Revenue Account and its long term viability?

13. QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR EQUALITIES, DEMOCRACY AND FINANCE FROM COUNCILLOR DAVID WATSON

Given the timings of this budget close to the election, and due to the cuts from the Labour Government, some residents will be concerned that further decisions may be revisited after May but before the next budget council assembly next year. Will the cabinet member confirm that there will be no supplemental or "emergency" budget between now and next February to fill the gaps left by the Labour government?

14. QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR EQUALITIES, DEMOCRACY AND FINANCE FROM COUNCILLOR NICK JOHNSON

KPMG recently announced that they were negotiating with their own auditor - Grant Thornton - to reduce their auditing fees due to their use of AI reducing labour-hours. Given KPMG is the council's auditor, has the council made similar approaches to reduce its auditing fees?

15. QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR EQUALITIES, DEMOCRACY AND FINANCE FROM COUNCILLOR MARIA LINFORTH-HALL

What avenues, if any, has the cabinet member explored to reduce the burden of increasing council tax for our poorest residents? For example expanding eligibility for the council tax reduction scheme, or freezing the rate of council tax for those already in receipt of council tax support.

16. QUESTION TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR EQUALITIES, DEMOCRACY AND FINANCE FROM COUNCILLOR ADAM HOOD

Many of the council's fees and charges have gone up by twice the rate of inflation, with some increasing by three or four times this. This is despite the council's own Equalities Impact Assessment concluding that this would have a negative effect on marginalised communities with no significant mitigation. Could the council look at mitigation by freezing certain fees or by freezing council tax increases for the poorest in our community?

AUDIT TRAIL

Lead Officer	Chidilim Agada, Head of Constitutional Services
Report Author	Virginia Wynn-Jones, Principal Constitutional Officer
Version	Final
Dated	23 February 2026

COUNCIL ASSEMBLY
BUDGET AND COUNCIL TAX SETTING

WEDNESDAY 25 FEBRUARY 2026

AMENDMENTS

ITEM 2.1: POLICY AND RESOURCES STRATEGY: 2026-27 BUDGET AND MEDIUM TERM FINANCIAL STRATEGY (see pages 22-329 of the agenda)

AMENDMENT A – Let’s Fix Southwark

Moved: Councillor Victor Chamberlain

Seconded: Councillor Rachel Bentley

- 1) Council Assembly notes the national context of setting this budget:
 - a) That despite promises of change, this Labour government has continued the Tory legacy of austerity – with real terms cuts under this Labour government that will harm local services more than any period prior.
 - b) The Government’s so-called “Fair Funding” review has resulted in grant cuts totalling almost £20 million, and leaving an overall funding gap over the next three years of around £80 million.
 - c) The Labour government has made further changes in relation to local government funding – namely reckless changes to the homelessness grant, and NIC changes that are plunging councils and small businesses into financial ruin.
 - d) The Labour Government has also failed to make bold changes needed with funding arrangements for HRAs and similar housing grants – meaning that housing associations and councils are still struggling to deliver desperately needed social housing
 - e) Similarly, the Labour Mayor of London has conspired with Government and big developers to undermine affordable housing delivery in London – resulting in even more pressure put on temporary accommodation costs on one hand, and continuing the housing-driven cost of living crisis on the other.
 - f) The Labour Government has failed to tackle the cost of living crisis – with rents, water bills, energy bills, and the price of food still climbing to levels that are forcing local families to often choose between heating and eating.

- g) That council tax remains a regressive tax, which the Resolution Foundation has compared to Thatcher's Poll Tax, citing disproportionate impact on the poorest households.
- 2) Council Assembly recognises the local context of setting this budget:
- a) Over the three-year period of the MTFS, the council has engaged in a series of callous cuts and fee increases that are damaging local services and hitting residents in the pocket
 - b) That in a time of strained finances, the priority should be spending money on supporting financially struggling residents and frontline services, not on a bloated cabinet and so-called "community champion" sinecure posts.
 - c) That there remains money the council is leaving on the table from its vast capital estate and position as a top tourist destination in a global city.
 - d) There is systemic waste and misplaced priorities across the council that can and should be cut to prioritise frontline services.
 - e) This waste includes ludicrous spend on consultants and agency staff, internal navel-gazing projects, and absurd spending on takeaways and food.
- 3) Council Assembly further recognises:
- a) That crime and anti-social behaviour in Southwark is getting worse: the borough has the fourth highest number of crimes, and a crime rate 32% higher than the national crime rate.
 - b) Phone theft and safety at night are of particular concerns to residents, with theft from the person being almost 10 times higher than the national average in Southwark.
 - c) That this Labour Council and Labour Mayor of London have failed to make Southwark, and London as a whole, safer for residents.
 - d) Under a Labour Mayor, police front counters across Southwark have been closed, with the final 24/7 front counter now to close its doors at night when residents are most at risk.
 - e) The promised new police hub in Rotherhithe has also been abandoned after the old hub was closed in March last year.
 - f) The number of directly employed community safety wardens is a fraction of the number when they took control of the council 16 years ago

- g) That this Labour council has failed to tackle the blight of fly-tipping and litter across the borough, with white goods dumping continuing to be an issue across Southwark. .
 - h) Tackling fly-tipping requires both “carrot” and “stick” approaches, the council is currently doing neither – there is no incentive to responsibly dispose of waste and fines for fly-tipping continue to be appallingly low – out of all 22,912 fly-tipping incidents in Southwark, only 1.8% resulted in an FPN, and zero prosecutions.
 - i) Almost a third of fly tipping is not being removed within the council’s target time, and monthly fly tipping reports are also up by a third.
 - j) The bulky waste collection charge continues to dis-incentivise responsible disposal of large waste.
- 4) Council Assembly further recognises:
- a) The Regulator of Social Housing’s findings of “serious failings”, awarding the council with the second worst rating available.
 - b) The litany of housing scandals that have plagued this administration – including major works that had no oversight from Labour cabinet members which resulted in a combined overspend of more than £7 million .
 - c) Had residents had better communication channels to and from the council, these overspends could have been averted.
 - d) Both the Labour Chancellor Rachel Reeves and a Labour Cabinet Member of Council Homes failed to secure the correct landlord licenses for their properties in Southwark – forcing the latter to resign and resulting in the eighth Cabinet Member for Council Homes to be appointed in seven years.
 - e) These scandals both exposed gaps in the council’s approach to regulating private landlords through its licensing policies.
 - f) Communication and accessibility of the council has consistently been raised as an issue across these major works scandals – issues which have not been rectified still on estates like Chilton Grove.
 - g) Repairs are delayed or ignored – including unacceptable repeated outages to heating and hot water on multiple estates.
 - h) 67% of cases raised with the housing ombudsman resulted in findings of maladministration, and over 8,000 stage 1 complaints have been raised with the council.

- i) The anonymity, inaccessibility, and remoteness of the council lies at the heart of many of these problems – with a return to the system of “one stop shops” last seen under the previous Liberal Democrat administration being a key solution.
- 5) Council Assembly therefore resolves to tackle the cost of living crisis and make life easier for people by:
- a) Extending support to those in receipt of council tax reductions to provide increased respite from the continued cost of living crisis. This will be achieved through a one-off fund worth £2.3m which will provide all working age residents in receipt of council tax reductions a further reduction of £150, enough to protect them from the 4.99% rise in council tax and provide additional financial support.
 - b) Add an extra £1 million to the council’s cost of living fund to enable a greater level of financial support for those most struggling.
 - c) Exempt those who are terminally ill and their households from council tax, in line with Marie Curie’s campaign and has already begun to be rolled out in other local authorities.
- 6) Council Assembly resolves to make Southwark cleaner, greener, and safer by:
- a) Doubling the current number of community safety wardens to 60, returning to the numbers seen under the previous Liberal Democrat administration.
 - b) Launch a new community infrastructure fund to increase CCTV provision, awareness campaigns, “safe space gazebos”, and related initiatives.
 - c) Roll out “community skips” in five trial areas across Southwark to make it easier for residents to responsibly dispose of large waste.
 - d) Abolish the bulky waste collection charge which provides the wrong incentives for those disposing of large goods.
 - e) Providing opportunities for our young people by exploring and identify where new youth facilities could be opened across Southwark.
 - f) Exploring and identifying where brand new green spaces and parks could be opened across the borough.
 - g) Develop plans to roll out electric vehicle charging points using in-house teams, which would in the longer term provide extra revenue to the council which is currently going to private providers, and may

result in a service other authorities could procure from Southwark.

- 7) Council Assembly further resolves to improve public services, tackle poor quality housing, and back our communities by:
 - a) Piloting a return to a system of “one stop shop” satellite offices, where residents are able to talk to council officers about whatever issue they require, face to face, and get advice and support in real time.
 - b) Utilising existing council offices, housing offices, libraries, leisure centres and other council buildings to provide this service in all communities across the borough.
 - c) Commissioning an exercise to explore how more decision making can be further devolved down to the community level, so that those most at stake can have a genuine say in what happens, where, and when.
 - d) Providing a more comprehensive offer of council services, along the lines of “Community Hubs” in boroughs such as Tower Hamlets and Westminster.
 - e) Where this would require maintaining or extending opening hours of libraries, to fund this by opening limited space for appropriate commercial activity, such as coffee shops as is successfully working at John Harvard Library.
 - f) Reallocating existing staff to one stop shops, and creating additional and bespoke frontline staff to deliver this service, where required.
 - g) Drawing £1 million from Service Improvement reserves to create a one-off resident service development fund, to be used to facilitate the creation of the “one stop shop” pilot, including adaptations, signage, staff time, and so on. Minor ongoing costs thereafter to be drawn from income and savings generated from this amendment.
 - h) Increasing resource to the private rental sector enforcement team, and reviewing HMO license and selective licensing policies to be more proactive in identifying problematic properties and raising standards across the private housing sector.
 - i) Funding feasibility studies on upgrading every train station in Southwark to have step-free access.
- 8) Council Assembly resolves to pay for these initiatives where not already identified by:
 - a) Embarking on ambitious income generating initiatives such as:

- i. Increasing filming permit fees to match demand from big film and television companies to use Southwark's iconic landmarks in their productions.
 - ii. Increasing income from HMO and selective licenses by implementing a more proactive scheme, supported by the additional resources allocated elsewhere in this budget amendment.
 - iii. Maximising value for communities impacted by events held in Southwark by generating more revenue from commercial event fees.
 - iv. Increasing fees for Temporary Traffic Notices and Orders by 10%
 - v. Taking full advantage of the millions of tourists who visit Southwark every year, and the forthcoming arrival of a statutory Tourist Tax (long campaigned for by the Liberal Democrats), and rolling out an interim voluntary scheme modelled on Manchester and Liverpool until such time as the new visitor levy comes into force.
 - vi. Bringing forward plans to intensify the occupation of Tooley St, in turn freeing up other council buildings for revenue generation and savings.
 - vii. Fully utilising the council's vast commercial estate and opportunities.
- b) Doing away with superfluous Labour waste and indulgences such as:
- i. Abolishing Southwark Life
 - ii. Trimming the photocopying, printing, and stationery budget
 - iii. Making savings in the communications and strategy budget
 - iv. Scrapping SRAs for neighbourhood champions
 - v. Cutting 5 cabinet members and scrapping deputy cabinet members
 - vi. Identifying 10% efficiencies in the use of leased vehicles
 - vii. Cutting catering spend
 - viii. Sweeping away oversized spend on consultants, and reducing reliance on agency staff

- c) Releasing reserves and strategic community infrastructure levy (CIL) as appropriate and detailed in the technical amendments below.
- d) Utilising the difference between the provisional and final Local Government Financial Settlement to cover any potential shortfall.

Insert into Appendix A (income and savings):	Adjust the following budget lines in Appendix B (Fees and Charges):
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Cease production of Southwark Life magazine - £84k ● Reduce the forecast spend on photocopying, printing, stationary and postage - £343k ● Review Southwark's Communications and Strategy budget - £325k ● Streamline cabinet by cutting 5 Cabinet Members - £202.8 ● Scrap all four deputy cabinet positions - £40.4k ● Scrap multi ward SRAs - 32k ● Find efficiencies and alternatives in order to reduce the projected 2026/27 spend on leased commercial vehicles by around 10% - £154k ● Review Agency and Consultant Spend - £812k ● Intensify occupation of Tooley St and associated revenue and savings - £300k ● Implement zero-tolerance policy on unused council-owned commercial assets and be far more ambitious in revenue generation - £900k ● Review catering spend - £100k ● Cut Mayoral budget - £30k ● Launch "tourist tax" interim initiative with BIDs, voluntarily adding small levy to relevant activity such as hotels, attractions, etc - £218k ● Release Strategic CIL (ringfenced for appropriate spend on community safety infrastructure) - £111k ● Release £2.3 million from the cost of living reserves (ringfenced for the financial relief fund detailed below) ● Release Service Improvement Reserve (ringfenced for the One Stop 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Filming permit fees to be increased - £105k ● Increase Temporary Traffic Notices and Orders fees by 10% - £62.5k

<p>Shop fit out works)– £1 million</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Release Highways Parking and Capital reserves - £50k • Release Southwark 2030 reserves - £150k 	
Insert the following budget lines into Appendix A (commitments):	Remove from Appendix B (Fees and charges)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Contribution of £1,000k to the Southwark Cost of Living Fund • Creation of a one-off financial relief fund which will provide an additional £150 council tax reduction for all working-age recipients of council tax reductions - £2,300k • 32 more Community Safety Officers - £1,889k • Community safety infrastructure (CCTV, Safe Space Gazebos etc) - £111k • Roll out community skips – initially in 4 locations across the Borough 6 times a year - £10k • Community devolution exercise - £10k • One Stop Shop running costs - £285k • One Stop Shop development/fit out - £1,000k • EV charging points in-house rollout development - £50k • £150k towards feasibility studies exploring: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. More youth facilities b. Train station upgrades c. New green spaces 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bulky Waste Collection Charges - £310k

Summary table demonstrating the amendments above are balanced:

Revenue:

Line (income/savings)	£k	Line (Commitments)	£k
Southwark Life	84	Cost of Living Fund contribution	1000
Photocopying, stationery etc	343	Community Safety Officers	1889
Communications & Strategy budget cut	325.8	Community Skips	10
Cut 5 Cabinet members	202.8	Abolish Bulky Waste Charge	310
Scrap Dep. Cab.	40.4	Devolution	10

Line (income/savings)	£k	Line (Commitments)	£k
Leased vehicles efficiencies	154	One stop shops running costs	285
Commercial events hire increase	72.9	Exempt terminally ill from Council Tax	225
Agency and Consultants cut	812	Additional PRS & leasehold resource	150
Tooley St efficiencies	300		
Sweating Commercial Assets	900		
Catering cut	100		
Mayoral	30		
Tourist tax	218		
Temporary Traffic Notices and Orders Increase Fees	62.5		
Scrap Multi Ward SRAs	33.6		
Selective license 21 days policy change (fine landlords/rent repayments for period letting) or upping enforcement activity	100		
Increase filming fees	100		
TOTAL	3879		3879

One off

Line (source)	£k	Line	£k
Cost of Living Reserves	2300	Council Tax support	2300
Release Service Improvement Reserves	1000	One Stop Shop development	1000
Release SCIL	111	Community Safety Infrastructure (CCTV, mobile phone campaign, etc)	111
Highways & Parking Capital reserves	50	EV in house & com serv.	50
Release Southwark 2030 reserves	150	Feasibility study increase youth services in every community	50
Total	3,611		3,611

Chief Finance Officer assurance on robustness of budget estimates and sufficiency of reserves

The Strategic Director of Resources, as the statutory section 151 officer, has confirmed that these proposals are lawful and implementable and overall do not impact on the total budget requirement for 2026/27 or the sufficiency of the Council's reserves. The Strategic Director notes that any shortfall due to

timing delays will be met utilising the difference between the provisional and final Local Government Financial Settlement.

AMENDMENT B – Fast and Free Buses for Young Adults

Moved: Councillor James McAsh

Seconded: Councillor Reginald Popoola

Insert in item 2.1 after section paragraph 13 as the new paragraph 14.

1. That access to affordable transport is fundamental to educational attainment, employment access and social mobility.
2. The council's recent success in acquiring £10 million to make Southwark's buses faster and more reliable, through Transport for London's Better Bus Partnership
3. That in London, free bus travel ends abruptly at age 18 – precisely when many young people are entering further education, apprenticeships, low-paid work or job-seeking, often travelling longer distances across the city.
4. That at 19, a standard annual bus pass costs £988, creating a significant financial cliff edge for young adults at a time of continued cost of living pressures.
5. That there is growing national support for extending free or subsidised bus travel to young adults, including:
 - a) The Scottish Government's policy of free bus travel for under-22s
 - b) Cross-party support expressed through the parliamentary Transport Select Committee
 - c) The inclusion of under-22 free travel within national Green Party policy.
6. That buses in London are the responsibility of Transport for London (TfL), but local authorities are able to fund targeted concessions or passes for specific groups, as demonstrated by Southwark Scholars.
7. That universal provision for all under-22s would incur significant costs; however, a targeted pilot would allow Southwark to test impact and gather evidence for future policy development, in Southwark and beyond.
8. That improving bus access for young adults supports:
 - a) Access to work, training and education
 - b) Reduced car dependency and congestion
 - c) Increased public transport usage
 - d) Improved physical and mental wellbeing

Council Assembly resolves:

9. To establish a one-year targeted pilot scheme providing free bus travel for at least 1,000 Southwark residents aged 19–21.
10. To prioritise within the pilot:
 - a) Care leavers
 - b) Young people who were previously eligible for Free School Meals
 - c) Young adults living in areas with lower Public Transport Accessibility Levels (PTAL)
11. To negotiate with Transport for London for bulk-purchase or concessionary rates to maximise value for money.
12. To allocate funding for outreach and independent evaluation to assess the impact of the scheme on:
 - a) Employment participation
 - b) Education attendance
 - c) Travel patterns
 - d) Health and wellbeing outcomes
13. To report back to Council Assembly within 18 months on take-up, outcomes and the financial implications of continuation or expansion.
14. To fund this by using £1.35m of the Recovery Grant Uplift which was originally allocated to supporting Temporary accommodation demands and costs set out in Table 1 Changes from the Provisional Settlement.

Chief Finance Officer assurance on robustness of budget estimates and sufficiency of reserves

The Strategic Director of Resources, as the statutory section 151 officer, has confirmed that this proposal is lawful and implementable and overall does not impact on the total budget requirement for 2026/27 or the sufficiency of the Council's reserves.

Meeting Name:	Council Assembly
Date:	25 February 2026
Report title:	Proportionality and Allocation of Seats on Committees Addendum report
Ward(s) or groups affected:	All
Classification:	Open
Reason for lateness (if applicable):	Not Applicable
From:	Proper constitutional officer

RECOMMENDATIONS

Proportionality

1. To note the updated political balance of the council following the publication of the agenda as follows:

Group	Members	%
<i>Labour</i>	45	71.43
Liberal Democrat	11	17.46
<i>Green</i>	4	6.35
Independent members (not a group)	3	4.76
Total	63	100.00

2. To note that for the purpose of calculating the allocation of seats on committees, only political groups with greater than one member are considered.
3. To note changes to proportionality and the possible change to the allocation of seats on committees from that agreed by council assembly on 12 November 2025. The new allocation is set out at paragraph 5, in Table 2.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

4. Following Councillor James McAsh's announcement on 18 February 2026 of his membership in the Green Party, this addendum report updates Recommendation 1 and 3 and the new allocation set out at paragraph 9, in Table 2 to the original report.

Table 2: Ordinary Committees - Total number of seats 42

5. Labour with 45 members will have 31.50/42 seats, Liberal Democrat with 11 members will have 7.70/42 seats, Greens with 4 members will have 2.80/42 seats, and independent members 0/42 seats.

6. If a group represents 40% of the council and a committee has 10 seats, they would be entitled to 4 seats on that committee (40% of 10). This often involves rounding up or down fractional entitlements to arrive at whole numbers of seats. The overall allocation should aim to reflect the political balance of the council as a whole.
7. The below table is based on the committees as agreed by council assembly on 12 November 2025. The allocations as of 12 November 2025 are shown in brackets. Constitutional steering panel at its meeting on 19 January 2026 considered and agreed to recommend the seats to alter, as listed in the table below.
8. **Following the updated proportionality changes as of 18 February 2026, to note that this should not alter the allocation of seats already published in the current report as listed below:**

Committee	Total	Lab	Lib Dem	Green	Ind.
Committee 1 Appointments Committee	7	5	2	0	0
Committee 2 Planning Committee (Major Applications) A	7	6	1	0	0
Committee 3 Planning Committee (Major Applications) B	7	6	1	0	0
Planning Committee (Smaller Applications)	7	4 (5)	2	1 (0)	0
Committee 4 Audit, Governance and Standards Committee	7	6	1	0	0
Committee 5 Corporate Parenting Committee	7	5 (6)	1	1 (0)	0
Total	42	32	8	2	0

**COUNCIL ASSEMBLY AGENDA DISTRIBUTION LIST (OPEN) (FULL LIST)
MUNICIPAL YEAR 2025/26**

NOTE: Original held by Constitutional Team; all amendments/queries to
Virginia Wynn-Jones Tel: 020 7525 7055

ONE COPY TO ALL UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED	Copies	To	Copies
<p>Councillors (1 each) Councillor Jasmine Ali Councillor Naima Ali Councillor Renata Hamvas Councillor Sarah King Councillor Maria Linforth-Hall Councillor Darren Merrill Councillor Leo Pollak Councillor Sandra Rhule Councillor Martin Seaton Councillor Andy Simmons Councillor Cleo Soanes Councillor Kath Whittam</p> <p>Electronic Versions (No hard copy) All other councillors</p>	<p>12</p>	<p>Press</p> <p>Southwark News South London Press</p> <p>Group Offices</p> <p>Arthur Holmes, Labour Group Office Oliver Bradfield, Liberal Democrat Group Office</p> <p>Officers</p> <p>Althea Loderick Clive Palfreyman Doreen Forrester-Brown Rhona Cadenhead Chidilim Agada Aled Richards David Quirke-Thornton Hakeem Osinaike Darren Summers Vishal Seegoolam</p> <p>Constitutional Team</p> <p>(Copies to Virginia Wynn-Jones, 2nd Floor, Hub 2, Tooley Street)</p> <p>Total:</p> <p>Last updated: October 2025</p>	<p>by email by email</p> <p>by email by email</p> <p>by email by email by email by email by email by email by email</p> <p>7</p> <p>20</p>